Form C-104 Rev. 02/2009

VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSAL MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

	I Proposal	Final Proposal	Date 2/26/10
 -	091218-403	,	Job No. <u>J4S1870</u>
2024		Rt. H	Original Bid Cost \$1,079,722.29
County Ja	ckson		By Scott Gander
Contractor		Construction	
	Adam K. Wat		
VECP# 10)-34 (to be	e completed by C.O.)	VECP Or PDVECP
The estone stone to the	xisting plans sho B 2' in width ou grayel A or B. ' grayel A	itside of the asphalt, and	sed change(s). Advantages/Disadvantages placing asphalt 2' wide, placing gravel A or crushed then pulling the material from the shoulder grading up ould be to place the material from the shoulder grading of this change would be the climination of the gravel A DOOT.
•		- dan onste	\$28,319.05
2. Estimate	of reduction in	construction costs.) will have on other department costs, such as
We f	ted date for sub	ige will not have any en	fect on other department costs. ge(s) of items required by Section 104.6 of the
Specifica	tions.		•
			2/26/10
			(date) .
5. Deadline contract	for issuing a cl completion tim	hange order to obtain n ne or delivery schedule.	maximum cost reduction, noting the effect of
	March 17 20	10 None	
	(date)	10 None	(effect)
6. Dates of	•		on of the same proposal.
		·	N/A
	•	. (da	te and/or dates)
		•	

CHESTER BROSS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

P.O. Box 430 Hannibol, MO 63401 Chester Bross, President "Equal Opportunity Employer" Ph: 573-221-5958 Fax: 573-221-1892

DATE: 2/25/201.0

Contract I.D. 091218-403 Job No. J4S1870 Route H, Jackson County

VECP

Bid Item 3105002 GRAVEL (A) OR CRUSHED STONE (B) Oty Unit

Bid Price for

Total

1,163.00

TN

24.35 \$

28,319.05

TOTAL SAVINGS \$ 2

28,319.05

Project Manager

Additional Comments:

** I	Portion Below This Line To Be Filled Out by M	oDOT **
Comments: SEE M	EMO	
,		
		3-5-10
	Submitted By Resident Engineer	Date
	VIEW OF THIS PROPOSAL, FT WA	45 DETERMINED THAT
Comments: IN RE	D RESULTOFTHISCHANGE WOULD	D NOT MEET THE
·	- , I THE DESIGN OR DE CONDITE	TEDM MAINIENANCE
1 NEW	OF THE DESIGN OR BE CONSIS NICAR CLASSIFICATION, BOTH OCUSTOMER EXPECTATION WILL	BE COMPROMISED.
1 . 0/- 3/1.	CUSTOMER EXPECIATION WILL	(DF)
Approval	Eli lato a list	4/5/10
Recommended	- Maryou d. W	Date
Rejection	District Engineer	
Recommended		
Comments:		
Colliman	NOT GROBERS OVER	LS 16#T
		·
		·
Approval		
Recommended	Federal Highway Administration	Date
Rejection Recommended	Required for FHWA Full Oversight Pr	ojects
Comments: Okolo	DSAL IS REJIECTED FOR R	KASUNS NOTED
BY	THE DISTACT	
	N . C . C	6. \ 2 \ 3
	Marid Da GODOL	by (9gn) 4-13-10
☐ Approval	State Construction and Materials Engi	neer Date
Rejection	PINTE COURT OF THE PARTY OF THE	A Saveral A

CHESTER BROSS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

P.O. Box 430 Hannibal, MO 63401 Chester Bross, President, "Equal Opportunity Employer" Ph: 573-221-5958 Fax: 573-221-1892

February 26, 2010

Mr. Brian K. Iles, P.E., Resident Engineer MODOT-Richmond Project Office 201 Wollard Blvd. Richmond, MO 64085

RE: Contract ID 091218-403 Job No. J451870 Route H, Jackson County

Dear Mr. Iles:

Please find our initial Value Engineering Proposal for the above referenced project.

Chester Bross Construction is proposing this change in an effort to offer MODOT a cost savings and to also provide a quality finished product. Please review the proposal and give me a call to discuss any inquiries that you may have.

If you have any questions feel free to call me at (573) 221-5958.

Sincerely,

Scott Gander

Project Manager

MEMORANDUM



Missouri Department of Transportation

Construction Richmond Project Office

TO:

Perry Allen-4co

CC:

File

FROM:

Brian K. Iles

Resident Engineer

DATE:

March 5, 2010

SUBJECT:

VE Concept Proposal

Job No. J4S1870 (Contract ID 091218-403)

Route H, Jackson County

Attached is a Construction Value Engineering Concept Proposal (VECP) for the above referenced project. Chester Bross Construction proposes eliminating the 2-foot wide Gravel A/Crush Stone B that is to be installed adjacent to the new 2-foot wide A3 shoulder throughout the project. The contractor proposes using the material from the shouldering/subgrading operation for the 2-foot wide shoulder in lieu of the rock. Attached is a copy of the contractor's VECP for review.

Design has indicated that the gravel/crushed stone was included on this project to delay the deterioration or "drop-off" condition. In addition, Design determined there was not enough insitu material, for all locations along the job, to adequately construct the 2-foot unpaved area.

This office has reevaluated the project site. The existing roadway section is wide enough to provide the 2-foot paved shoulder but there is insufficient room to provide 4-foot shoulders without relocation of the ditch line in several locations. Additionally, there is little to no existing drop-off along the edge of pavement. Therefore, there should be enough in-situ material for shaping along the new 2-foot A3 shoulder.

I recommend the A3 shoulder be constructed per plan using the shoulder/subgrading material, removed as part of the A3 shoulder construction, to finish the slope between the existing ground and A3 shoulder. Thus, I recommend approval of this VECP as Practical Design Value Engineering (75%-MoDOT / 25%-Contractor). The contract savings for the Gravel A/Crushed Stone B is \$28,319.05 (\$21,239.29-MoDOT / \$7,079.76-Contractor).

Feel free to contact this office with any questions or comments.

VALUE ENGINEERING CHECK SHEET

TYPE OF WORK

(Check one that applies)

- □ Bridge/Structure/Footings
- □ Drainage Structures (RCP, RCB, CMP's, ect.)
- □ TCP/MOT
- X Paving (PCCP, ect.)
- □ Grading/MSE Walls
- □ Signal/Lighting/ITS
- □ Misc.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

(If needed, condense summary to a couple of lines)

(if needed, condense summary to a couple of mice)					
Use existing shoulder material in lieu of planned gravel A or B to bring up shoulder elevation.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

SCANNING OF DOCUMENT

If the proposal is large, please mark or make note, which pages need to be scanned into the database	. If
there are special instructions, make note of them here.	

Scan proposal only.			
,	 		
		<u> </u>	